

317 Ob

From: Electoral Boundaries Review Mailbox
Sent: Friday, 25 August 2023 9:12 AM
To:
Subject: 317 Ob FW: My Submission to proposed electoral boundary changes 2023 is attached
Attachments: Electoral Boundaries 2023 Submission.docx

From: m.au <...@westnet.com.au>
Sent: Wednesday, 16 August 2023 7:47 PM
To: Electoral Boundaries Review Mailbox <boundaries@waec.wa.gov.au>
Subject: My Submission to proposed electoral boundary changes 2023 is attached

[External Email] This email was sent from outside the organisation. Be cautious, particularly with links and attachments.
All SPAM emails must be reported using the instructions on the intranet.

Sir or Madam,
My submission to 2023 Electoral Boundaries Review, is attached
Good luck!
Simon

Acknowledgement of Country: The Western Australian Electoral Commission acknowledges the Traditional Owners of the lands on which our electoral activities take place. We pay our respects to Elders past, present and emerging.

W.A.E.C. RECORDS	
FILE No.	DST 0152
DATE:	16 AUG 2023
ACTION BY:	_____

I extremely strongly object in the highest order, to the boundary changes which are proposed, which reduce my representation when voting in state elections.

An analogy would be, if Australia was merged with South East Asia and governed as one country, Australia would not even get one representative, based purely on population proportioned voting.

Anyway, as the decision has been made, perhaps make each electorate the exact same area of size.

Land area of Western Australia is 2.646 million km²

Number of Legislative Assembly Electorates: 59

Therefore, each electorate could be: 44847.5 square kilometres.

Population of Western Australia: 2667000

Therefore, each 44847.5 square km electorate could contain 45204 people.

To make that work, the Perth population centre would have quite a few long cartwheel pizza slice shaped electoral boundaries stretching from suburbia to the edge of the state.

Unfortunately, each political candidate would only need to concentrate their canvassing and policies to the populated area.

Another solution would be for the government to do everything possible within its power to decentralise the city and encourage industries, development, services and housing to areas outside the metropolitan area. Perhaps the electorate that I reside in, would benefit from some services being increased here.

With more and better services and population in this area, it might increase my chances of finding a single Australian girl without any children, who would be willing to actually go out with me.

If the absolutely unwelcome changes of the electoral boundaries go ahead, I expect this current government, to personally find me a life partner. I propose an alternative measurement to GDP or similar benchmarks, to be "How easy is it for someone to find their life partner (or any partner at all, in my case), in the areas affected by this government policy, as a result of their decision, without leaving the affected area.

This new benchmark is the canary indicator of health of government intervention. I surmise that if I were a resident of the new Oakford electorate, it would be way easier for me to find a life partner after the change, than if I continue to stay a Manmanning resident and have representation out here in upper woop woop reduced even further.

I will let you know if I actually find someone out here. If you haven't heard from me within the next electoral cycle, then I suggest the government reevaluate the legislation, as it wouldn't have achieved success according to my new benchmarks.

Sincerely,

Simon Emmott

Manmanning 6465