

Thomas Sounness
14 Welsh Street
Denmak, WA, 6333

Office of the Electoral Distribution Commissioners
GPO Box F316
PERTH WA 6841

Re – Review of electoral boundaries.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the electoral boundaries.

- I am of the opinion that the Albany electorate needs to be reviewed in that the boundary should match that of the expected urban front within the next 10 years, rather than the present urban front. The rural issues are of lesser importance and the expectations of the landowners surely are in line with urban development rather than rural hinterland activity.

I am almost of the mind that this electorate may be able to extend along the southern portion of the South Coast Highway through to Denmark and Walpole, as this grouping of occupancy is urban/rural lifestyle and few landowners are entirely rural in nature.

- I suggest a similar approach be applied to Vass and Bunbury, if appropriate. They seem unreasonably small with expectations of urban expansion dominating the current landuse pattern
- I do think the calculation of rural / mining electorates with the Large District Allowance is correct. Looking at the South West & agricultural regions it looks fairly much as I would expect.
- I think the opposite may apply to contiguous urban areas where issue differentiation with occupation and environmental impact may be very similar. Eg – Joondalup / Kinglsey / Carine.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.



Thomas Sounness

9226 0557