From:

Neil Annison <neilannos@gmail.com> Sunday, 16 August 2015 10:03 PM

Sent: To:

2015 Electoral Boundaries Review

Subject:

Electoral boundaries

WAEC

17 AUG 2015

Hi , I wish to comment on electoral boundary changes. It appears changes seem to be made on population growth in different areas which to me is wrong for many reasons, especially in W.A. This is the biggest state in Australia and most of our wealth is produced outside of Perth, regional areas need increasing representation to realise our potential and growth. If you decrease regional representation and increase urban seats due to Perth's rising population, then with urban infill we will inevitably arrive at a situation of one electorate per square kilometre in Perth!

Mining and Agriculture need more people planning our growth in these areas, not less, when you have no control we get situations like the fly-in fly-out debacle where mining companies do as they please and fly in workers from anywhere to save a buck. Better representation in regional areas (I.e. more MPs) then governments can control our growth instead of the Perth centric electorates we now have. Thank you, Neil Annison, Albany.

This email has been scanned by the WAEC Security System in accordance with WAEC Policies.